

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,5	59	10/27/2001	John Timothy Strom	11532-014001	1789	
	7590	08/27/2003				
	S T. HAGLE			EXAM	NER	
Suite 5	Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 500			NGUYEN, ЛІММY		
	a Jolla Village ego, CA 9212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2829		
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ند ا			Sh
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,559	STROM, JOHN 1	IMOTHY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy Nguyen	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIC SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH/	S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		•	2
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim	nely filed	
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v 	vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	the mailing date of this of	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 			•
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	lune 2003 .		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			•.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examir	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	1. 11	\	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		l application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

The applicant argues that Schwartsz patent neither teaches nor contemplates a system which obtains and analyzes scrub mark pattern data both from a probe card analyzer and a scrub mark analyzer. The examiner is disagree. A probe card inspection system as recited in claim 1 of Schwartsz indicated that the scrub mark pattern data will be obtained and analyzing throughout the digital image from the computer. Further, the applicant admitted that Schwartz system is designed specifically to predict scrub mark patterns wherein the current claim invention is claiming the same feature.

Thus, the amendments do not render the claims distinct and patentable over prior art, nor they overcome the rejection. The applicant's arguments have been considered in full, but they are not persuasive. Therefore, this office action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The data processor is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

As to claim 1, the description of the specification is not disclosed anything about a data processor coupled to imaging apparatus. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,559

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwartz et al (US 5657394).

As to claim 1, Schwartz et al disclose (fig 4) an opto-mechanical workstation (100) for loading (the wafer), systemically moving (X, Y direction), imaging (124) and analyzing (column 9 line 24 –34) scrub marks, workstation comprising;

A probe card analyzer (99);

A scrub mark analyzer (200);

An imaging apparatus (146) configured and operative to obtain images of first scrub marks made by probe card pins (60) on a check plate in probe card analyzer (99) and images of second scrub marks med by probe card pins (60) on bonding pad in scrub mark analyzer (200, as seen in claim 1); and

A data processor (200) coupled to imaging apparatus (146) and configured and operative to obtain scrub mark data associated with first scrub marks and scrub pattern data associated with second scrub marks and to analyze scrub mark data and scrub pattern data; wherein data processor allows prediction of the behavior of a probe pin on a semiconductor device metalization pad (claim 1 of the "394 patent)

Application/Control Number: 10/046,559

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (703) 306-5858. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 805-4900.

JN.

August 12, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4